

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/568,341	02/14/2006	Masahiko Kubota	03500.518807.	3333	
5514 FITZPATRICI	7590 11/10/201 K CELLA HARPER &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1290 Avenue of the Americas			RAYMOND, BRITTANY L		
NEW YORK,	NY 10104-3800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1722	•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/10/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/568,341	KUBOTA ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
BRITTANY RAYMOND	1722				
	10/568,341 Examiner	10/568,341 KUBOTA ET AL.  Examiner Art Unit			

	BRITTANY RAYMOND	1722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 October 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		26/a) and the appropriat	a autonoian foa
Laterisons of mile may be doubland on John 19 of John 1	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS		(-)	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to  (a) They raise new issues that would require further core  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. \( \times \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \( \times \) to respect to \( \times \) to \( \ti		l be entered and an e	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/Kathleen Duda/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1722	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Adding the phrases, "having a thickness of not less than 15 microns" and "having a thickness from 5 microns to 10 microns." in independent claims 4 and 5 raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Ohkuma discloses that the PMIPK layer forms a highly precise ink jet device with no deformation, as compared with processes used in the prior art. Since Kubota shows that the majority of the link passageway is formed using the first layer, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the composition that provides for the most precise pattern as this layer. Therefore, the PMIPK layer could be used as the first layer of Kubota and since Kubota already teaches that the PMIPK material can accurately be used with the PMIMA layer, the PMIMA layer could be used as the second layer.